

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION

ROBERT STUBBLEFIELD, )  
Petitioner, )  
v. )  
DIRECTOR, TDCJ-CID, )  
Respondent. ) Civil Action No. 3:20-CV-0213-C-BT

## **ORDER**

Before the Court are the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge therein advising the Court that Petitioner's petition for a writ of habeas corpus should be denied and dismissed.<sup>1</sup>

The Court conducts a *de novo* review of those portions of the Magistrate Judge's report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which a timely objection is made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Portions of the report or proposed findings or recommendations that are not the subject of a timely objection will be accepted by the Court unless they are clearly erroneous or contrary to law. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989).


After due consideration and having conducted a *de novo* review, the Court finds that Petitioner's objections should be **OVERRULED**. The Court has further conducted an independent review of the Magistrate Judge's findings and conclusions and finds no error. It is therefore **ORDERED** that the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation are hereby **ADOPTED** as the findings and conclusions of the Court. For the reasons stated therein, the

<sup>1</sup> Petitioner has filed timely objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation.

Court **ORDERS** that Petitioner's petition for a writ of habeas corpus be **DENIED** and **DISMISSED**.

SO ORDERED.

Dated July 11, 2022.



A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Sam R. Cummings", is written over a horizontal line. Below the line, the name "SAM R. CUMMINGS" is printed in a standard font, followed by "SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE" in a smaller font.